

Truth Frequency Radio & TheAntiTerrorist Q&A

The following questions were submitted to and answered by Timothy AntiTerrorist for the pre-recorded broadcast on the Truth Frequency Radio programme which aired 3 December 2009
a podcast of the entire programme is available for download at
<http://www.theantiterrorist.co.uk>

Homines libenter quod volunt credunt.

Without compromising your identity, can you tell us a little bit about yourself, what inspires you do to what you are doing?

First of all, I am acutely aware that this is not Truth Frequency's regular format so I would like to thank them for going the extra mile to accommodate my privacy concerns. At the risk of saying too much about my private life, my profession requires that I keep a low profile, politically speaking. If my employers were to become aware of me denouncing the current system, it would certainly mean the end of my career; hence the clandestine façade. I'm well aware that for some the mask and the voice might be off-putting but I hoped it would grab their attention long enough for the information to hit home. Although I have strong opinions on many subjects and was committed to sharing them, I wasn't about to jeopardise my ability to feed and clothe my family. I was also acutely aware that should it turn out I was just a misguided nutcase and that all was actually well with the world, I could drop the project like a hot potato and blend back in with no embarrassment to those who associate with me on a regular basis. But aside from that aspect, privacy is a cornerstone of freedom, and I guard my privacy fiercely.

What really started it for me was intense anger and frustration two years ago. This has since modified into a sincere desire to inspire others to empower themselves. The best comments I get are from people who also 'get it' that self-empowerment comes from thinking for themselves and educating themselves instead of waiting around to be 'told what to do' by anyone else.

Is there any one particular event that was the "straw that broke the camel's back?" so to speak, or was this something that grew over time?

I think I've had the proverbial splinter in the back of my mind for some time now, but I was initially inspired to broadcast based on events I'd witnessed outside Parliament in 2007, concerning a certain anti-war protestor named Brian Haw. Brian doesn't know me, but I've been an advocate of his stand since it began.

He lawfully occupies an area of five square meters outside Parliament and he's thwarted all attempts to remove him since he made camp there over six years ago, in protest against the unlawful invasion of Iraq. He's a thorn in the side of the establishment, to say the least.

In fact, thanks to the Internet and the power of his message, he's become something of a tourist attraction. The Police have tried every dirty trick in the book to remove him. I've been witness to bullying, coercion, violence and threats of imprisonment but it just seems to make him more determined to stay.

Brian is a passionate but peaceable soul and—to the best of my knowledge—has never raised a hand against another. He has my profound respect.

In 2008, a few 'policy enforcement officers' wearing SWAT-type apparel accosted him. He was dragged into the back of a waiting van and was—allegedly—the test dummy for a bout of 'truncheon practice.' He emerged some five minutes later, bloodied and battered, but as defiant as ever. I wasn't there to see the event with my own eyes, but there are hundreds of photos and several video recordings of the incident available on the net. What disgusted me most was the general reaction of the onlookers; they walked by as though nothing of any significance had happened. Once Brian had been carted off in an ambulance, the Police stationed around Parliament nonchalantly continued to direct human traffic—Nothing to see here folks, move along now. The general level of apathy in this once great country is so pervasive and so apparent that I despair for our future. And 'The Powers that Be' are thriving on it.

Can you share some of the tyrannies you have witnessed in the UK? Maybe describe the police state that is growing around us?

In America and Great Britain today [and in almost any other country], we're taxed, licensed, regulated, monitored, attacked, extorted from, imprisoned, and spied on more than at any time in our history. The worst aspect is that it's all being done by the very government established for our protection. The fact that nearly all of our rights and immunities have been gradually taken away speaks for itself. Freedom and liberty are nothing more than illusions. but then again, so is everything else, isn't it? :-)

The police officers we're dealing with have been "brainwashed" into believing that they're doing the right thing, when in fact they're little more than armed policy enforcement officers acting as henchman and hired to bully and intimidate us into submission at the behest of multinational corporations. This statement will probably offend most police officers, but it's a fact and it's not their fault. Most police officers believe they're performing a public service and doing the 'right thing' in the performance of their duty. They are being lied to by the government and in most cases police officers operate in a closed loop of lies and disinformation, more so than any of us. Recently, the Police in the US have all been ordered to complete paramilitary training and were told that this is essential because of the threat of Terrorism. So now our government officials have our police officers training to act as a military unit. "Follow our orders and don't think!"

They're being taught that we're untrustworthy and dangerous, to fear us, and of course, we're being conditioned to fear them. They've succeeded in placing these officers on edge, so that their every reaction will be an over-reaction to the situation, just like Hitler's Gestapo. In my opinion, the police paramilitary training and their extensive brainwashing has been implemented specifically for the event that is unfolding as I speak. I suspect that, as people queue up and eventually riot to get their fair share of the vaccine, police officers will over-react and begin injuring or killing the innocent. It's also been suggested that once the police are no longer of any use in the US, they and their families will all be ordered to receive vaccinations that will either injure or kill all of them. My guess is that, after this planned mass genocide has occurred in the US, the Chinese military will replace them when China calls in the US debt.

I wonder how many of the US public know about the US debt to China? I suspect very few.

Speaking of China, we're so proud of the fact that we live in a Democracy, aren't we? If you look up the word "Democracy" in a decent Law Dictionary and see the legal meaning, it's defined as: "A Socialist form of government and another form of Communism."

As for the UK, I think it's well known now that the UK has the highest concentration of CCTV cameras in the world, it's also no secret that we have more police officers on the street now than at any other time in history. And then we have our community support officers who are gradually developing powers of arrest. As I speak,

they've all been issued with warrant cards and I am sure when the moment is right they will be granted the power to use them.

What would you say is the biggest problem we're facing and what can the public do to stop it?

The most obvious problem to me is the apathy and the sense of helplessness that seems to be prevalent at the moment. That combined with the constant bombardment with messages of fear from the major media. We need to begin to educate ourselves independent of mainstream media sources, utilise the Internet before it is either censored or gone in the form we have access to today.

All men women and children have malleable minds, minds that can be shaped and controlled; but when government shapes and controls a mind, it's called "brainwashing," and that's what's being done right now - mass brainwashing utilising the most powerful medium available to them at this time, the television, and since the switchover to HD, I hesitate to think of what they are now capable of broadcasting. Stop watching so much television, educate yourself from alternative media sources, investigate stories you're being told in the major media, examine them from different sources and get a better sense of the bigger picture.

Another huge problem as I see it is that people are ignorant of the two or three largest subjects that control our lives, money and the law. We're not taught these things in "The Public Fool System," so once we get out in the big wide world, we are pretty much in the hands of the people who have kept this knowledge from us. Of course the answer to that problem is very simple: study up and empower yourself.

Can you tell us a little about the H1N1 hoax, do you feel it is for profit, for control, both, and where do you see them going with it?

As far as H1N1 is concerned, I suspect it's probably for both, profit *and* control. The potential for staggering profits that benefit pharmaceutical companies and the governments influenced by them are apparent, once you stop to take into account the overwhelming evidence and historical precedence.

The bottom line is with the statutes that have been put in place in the last ten years, anyone who refuses to take the vaccine could be arrested as a Terrorist and committed into internment camps. Once branded as a Terrorist, you have little or no recourse.

Do you feel the vaccine is an attack on the immune system to prepare the "victims" for another attack?

It is interesting you should ask this question in just this way because I received a very interesting take on all this from a trusted colleague in the field of immunology. Last month, he got his hands on a vial of vaccine headed for Eastern Europe and analysed it in his off-work hours. What came up was viable *Yersinia Pestis*, also known as pneumonic plague, and viable Simian Virus 40, which was implicated in the AIDS outbreak in Africa in the 80's. The message I received from him reads as follows:

What if the YP/S40 is the solution to protect the sheep from the next wave of the pandemic that will wipe out all of the "difficult" ones?

It could be a clever method to separate the pliable from the unconditioned--after all, where is the logic in killing off all of the people who quickly and without question line up for their shot? Isn't it more logical to effect the opposite--corner the non-compliant into a no-win scenario?

What if this whole Ukraine thing is a sort of fly trap for the dissidents? So, who is left, after the supposed anti-

viral induced plague in this scenario? A healthy population of anti-government sovereign souls who self-filtered themselves by being the ones who refused the vaccine. I suspect that's what they want; they want the disobedient to die.

It's a very interesting theory, I'm sure you'll agree, but I want to make it clear that's all it is. No one is suggesting you should go out and get the H1N1 vaccine. This particular immunologist is against vaccines, as am I. He's simply pointing out that it would be an ingenious way of separating the dissidents from the compliant. As you can probably surmise, the game is being played on multiple levels that are changing all the time. What we need to do is take the evidence and come to the conclusion that serves us best on an individual level. My particular take is that the elite definitely do not have my best interests at heart, and never have, and I would assert that you are all in a similar position.

I know you speak a lot about being a Freeman On The Land and follow the teachings of Robert Menard. Who are some other researchers people might be interested in concerning the sovereign philosophy?

At this time I would recommend listening to Dr Sam Kennedy on Republic Broadcasting Radio, and Winston Shrout, but the chap who embodies most of what I stand for would be Brandon Alexander Adams. He's right out there on the front lines, doing it, and achieving great things. You'll find links to those materials on TheAT website.

For the listeners who are completely new to this, where would you suggest they start to walk the path of being a Freeman On The Land?

I think a full understanding of both paths, the Freeman route and the commercial redemption route is required before you choose which one to take. It's just common sense, really. I hope you'll see everything I say as common sense. If it's not 'common sense,' it's not the simplest, most effective answer, in my opinion. In either case I would recommend taking a few LSATs (Law School Admission Test) online and familiarise yourself with the language they will use to try and cage you or trip you up. If you want to be free, you need to become a student of the law. To become a student of the law, you need to learn to read legalese.

Remember you're dealing with a feudal system which is a lie and fraud from the beginning. You have to become an expert as a "law merchant" and understand the international laws of commerce, where everything is trust agreements and offers and counter offers.

And I can assure you I am no expert, I am still studying, as are all the other people out there teaching this stuff. Information on commercial redemption is appearing and disappearing and evolving all of the time, it's very difficult to stay on top of it unless you are on the front lines, and I am not. As far as being a free man on the land is concerned I don't think anyone embodies those qualities more than Robert Menard - he's certainly on the front line and he's leading the way.

Even if you are not ready to check out of the system and commit to that path, you would be doing yourself a service by following his example in terms of the way he handles himself as a sovereign.

Remember, If it looks like a duck, walks like a duck, and quacks like a duck, It surely must be a duck. If you look, walk, and act as a slave the system will treat you accordingly. Having said that, you can act like a sovereign all you like but your 'identity,' as evidenced by your country of birth and/or citizenship, is your achilles heel. You can't really be free, a free-man-on-the-land until you successfully disassociate yourself from your 'person.' Until you challenge and dissolve the contract you signed that has you in voluntary servitude. But you have to be in a good position to do that. If you're on unemployment benefit and receiving housing benefits

and food stamps, you're in no position to leap into 'responsibility', unless you're able to spend a few years 'sofa surfing' and having your friends support you while you get back on your feet. The bottom line is this: If you are receiving any benefits or privileges from the state, you're not free.

Know and understand exactly who you are. Know what your law is. If it's the thousands of arbitrary and conflicting man-made laws (statutes) that can and will change on a political whim, then freedom is not for you.

Freedom is won on a personal level; no one else can do it for you. You have to take steps to gain your own freedom through personal education and action. As someone famous once said: "The surest way for evil to prevail is for good men to do nothing." In doing nothing, you've got no cause to complain when evil rears it's ugly head.

You have to fully understand the dangers of accepting and using the "fictional name" (name in all capital letters, or preceded by the title Mr. Mrs. Ms, or followed by the title 'Esquire' and the like).

You might be thinking a name change will do the trick but you should also ask yourself why it is, that in order to legally change your name, it has to be done through the court system. The reason is because the name that you're trying to change doesn't belong to you. It's the name that the system created for you. After all's said and done, you'll have achieved nothing more than creating another legal fiction.

Now you can change your name lawfully as often as you like, but a rose by any other name - a slave by any other name - is still a slave unless you start acting like the sovereign that you are.

Take the time to explore the sites that Robert Menard is involved with

theworldfreemansociety.org

thinkfree.ca

thinkfreeforums.org

there are plenty of people on there who can guide you and who are perhaps a little further down the path than you are.

[Can you explain briefly \(or lengthily\) what a legal fiction is?](#)

Let's say you've decided to go into business for yourself and you've thought up a clever name for your company. Everything you've read and the advice received from a solicitor, a lawyer or friend suggests that you should incorporate your business. To incorporate is to create a business on paper. It isn't real; it's a business in theory, which makes it a fiction. The legal professional or accountant you hired to prepare your corporation registers your business with the state as a state corporation and identifies you as president of the board of directors, not the owner. Your business is now "a corporate fiction" and by registering the business as a state corporation, you no longer own it, the state owns it. You just gave your business away and made yourself an employee! Our presumed government representatives have done the same thing to each of us. They changed each of us from "a sovereign" into "a corporate fiction."

On every form you file to receive "government benefits" and even the "voter registration form" there is a question that asks: Are you a United Kingdom or United States Citizen? YES / NO and everyone circles the

YES answer. Didn't you? Now look up the definition of a "Citizen" in a decent law dictionary like Blacks or Balantynes. You'll discover that a Citizen is a phrase designed to identify a "corporate fiction." "CITIZEN", "RESIDENT", "TAXPAYER", "PERSON", "DRIVER" and "INDIVIDUAL" all have the same meaning. These terms denote artificial entities (or TITLES of NOBILITY) that have made themselves subject, by accepting government benefits, to the jurisdiction of the State.

Can you explain briefly (or lengthily) what a person is?

According to statute and code, a "person" is defined as: corporation; franchise; an individual (of or relating to a single person or thing, as opposed to a group- Black's 8th); a body politic (and sometimes) a human being (all artificial entities.)

Can you explain briefly what a human being is?

You could argue that you're not a corporation, franchise, or individual. But surely you must be a "human being." If you look to Ballentine's Law Dictionary (1930):

human being. See monster.

Ok, let's see "monster."

monster. A human-being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal. "A monster, hath no inheritable blood, and cannot be heir to any land."

Common sense would tell you that you don't want to be one of those.

I am a man, no more, no less.

Can you explain briefly (or lengthily) what a strawman is, how it is created, what its purpose is and how one can take control of their strawman?

It's a form of identification. The majority of the people in the world carry identification cards issued by others. This allows others to create the persona and the lawful standing of the man or woman. Although most people carry ID cards, they have absolutely no foundation in law whatsoever. There is no law written that obliges anyone to carry any type of ID. The only time anyone is required to have ID is to access so-called privileges and benefits granted by the issuer. No matter what type of ID(s) you carry, one thing is for sure ... you didn't issue it to yourself. You willfully applied for the ID hoping to receive some type of special privilege or benefit granted by the authority.

Most people don't realise when they are applying for some sort of ID card via their person, they are giving up rights, so we need to take a moment to define the difference between natural Rights, which are unalienable and not within the power of government to grant, and privileges and benefits, which obviously are. Natural Rights include (but are not limited to) the right to: contract, work, get married, travel, own property, open a business, choose your own destiny, worship whatever god you like, defend yourself, etc. Privileges and benefits may include any activity that gives the beneficiary a special advantage not usually granted to the general public. These special advantages are usually granted via a license or permit. A license is defined as "permission given by a competent authority to do something that would otherwise be unlawful."

The question is, do you, as most people believe, need permission (license and permit) to contract, work, get married, travel, choose their own destiny, etc.? The answer is ... no, you don't. That is unless you voluntarily, by your own free will and volition, apply for, are granted, and accept the fictitious privilege or benefit being offered.... ultimately placing yourself into a state of "voluntary servitude."

Your Social Security Card was issued by the United Nations through the International Monetary Fund and your Social Security Number is actually your International Slave Number. On the reverse side of that card is a "cusip" number, which is required on all securities. You've been converted into a marketable security, like a bond, and your person was offered for sale and sold to domestic and foreign corporate investors.

Although GB has no written constitution, the constitution of the United States of America clearly states that "the condition of 'involuntary' servitude shall forever be prohibited". The key word here is "involuntary." This means that your natural Rights can't be converted to privileges (without your consent), and privileges can't be converted to Rights (without consent of the authority).

All power rests with the People. After all, it's the People who created government in the first place. Since the 'created' can't be greater than the "creator", it must follow that the government is something less than the "creator" and must by its very nature be the "creator's" servant. This is true until you voluntarily allow the servant to become your master. Which is what most people have done to themselves, we've made public servants our masters. The government can't do anything for you or against you without your consent. Your consent was either given in writing, by what you said (verbal consent), or by your silence (acquiescence).

So, in effect, we have been cunningly coerced into voluntary servitude and we gave up all of our unalienable rights in doing so.

We do have a lot of personal responsibility to take in that realisation, but again, we were never taught about contracts in school, the importance of reading fine print and the importance of the power that we actually have as sovereigns, but then again, why on earth would a master teach his slaves how to be free?

Can you give us a brief (or lengthy) idea of how a police encounter should go?

The key aspect to keep in mind here is that you're dealing with another living soul. Of course, they have an agenda, and that agenda might not be in your best interest but they are operating under certain assumptions and presumptions that have been conditioned into them during their training and, no doubt, from practical experience in dealing with the darker side of humanity.

I know from watching a couple of friends in the Police service that their demeanor has changed since they joined the force. They are never 'off the job', constantly looking out for suspicious or criminal activity. That's not healthy for any psyche; it makes you twitchy and distrustful eventually. We also have to remember that these men and women see the worst of society on a daily basis, which also cannot put one in a relaxed, healthy state of mind.

Combine that with the fact that, for whatever reason, one of us civilians will flip out every now and then and try and take a few police officers down with us. They do a difficult job under great pressure and should be shown the appropriate respect.

The other side of the coin is that they answer to a corporation and corporations have revenue to generate and

shareholders who expect a dividend. Police officers have quotas, this is a fact that has been confirmed to me by many officers who have written to me over the last couple of years. Having a daily quota, and having that quota dictate how your career goes, and therefore what food you can put on the table puts officers in the position of having to make more stop and searches, instigate more contrived public contact situations and ultimately make more arrests. Your best weapon in terms of dealing with police contact is obviously knowledge of the contact process and how it is structured to play out. Knowing your rights is essential. As I have often said, If you don't know what rights you have, you have none.

If you've been involved in criminal activity, in other words, if you have caused harm, injury or loss, then you deserve to be arrested and dealt with but generally speaking the policy enforcement officers are enforcing statutory legislation, which, as Robert will have pointed out, only has the force of law with your consent.

It would take more time than we have to go over the countless statutory rules you may have broken just walking down the street so we'll assume that you've been approached by an officer because you're wearing black slip on shoes and white socks on a wednesday (which SHOULD be against the law, on any day of the week, in my opinion). There are 3 stages the officer has been trained to execute; the contact, the detention, and finally the arrest. You will be approached and the officer will undoubtedly be polite but firm, and your job is to be polite but firm in return. If the officer sees you being timid and fearful they will invariably interpret it as suspicious behavior and railroad you from the word go.

I would ask what the officer has stopped you for. He then has to justify the contact. If it is simply a matter of asking you whether or not you may have witnessed anything unusual or suspicious in the area, you may choose to assist or not, if you can. You have the right to walk away at this stage, you are not under any obligation to speak to the officer.

I would ask "Am I being detained." If the officer says no, I would then ask him if I am free to go. He has to say yes or no, if yes, I would thank him and leave. However, if he says no, my next question would be, what is the reason for the detention? Again, he is obliged to give you a reason and can detain you for up to 45 mins without arresting you in order to determine whether or not he is justified in his suspicion of you. If he doesn't give you a reason, I would insist on leaving and attempt to leave. This will either call his bluff, in which case he will not try to stop you, or he will be forced to arrest you, in which case he had better have a good reason.

An unlawful arrest would be just cause for a commercial lien, if you know how to execute one. Please take the time to watch my broadcasts on dealing with the police and dealing with the police addendum broadcasts on YT for a better presentation of these procedures. All I will say for now is that the golden rule on arrest is to say the following:

"Officer, for and on the record, there will be no need for force or violence, I will not resist arrest. However, you are obliged to note that I will be operating under protest and duress every step of the way. I reserve all of my rights at all times and waive none of my rights at any time for any cause or reason. I do not consent to this arrest, I do not consent to the taking of DNA or blood samples or fingerprints, and I do not consent to being placed on any database."

Then, 'keep your mouth shut'. Once you get to the station, repeat your mantra and sign nothing. Of course, they will try all sorts of intimidating tactics to get you to sign or give a statement and it is this period that sorts the men from the boys. It can be pretty intense. Without just cause, or any input from you, they simply have to release you.

Can you give us a brief (or lengthy) idea of how a court hearing should go?

I could regurgitate my Standing in court video here but I think it would be irresponsible of me to do so. In my experience, people are looking for a quick fix or a silver bullet, and there isn't one. Some people only need to hear the starter pistol and they're off at a sprint without having the right running shoes or tying their laces. They invariably trip themselves up or run out of steam. A well rounded education is required before playing in court and I can only suggest going on a few 'law merchant' seminars (Sam Kennedy, Winston, Jack Smith, Brandon), reading a few law books (as boring as that can be), taking online Lsats and so on. In terms of the interactions, personalities and format in court, there is no guarantee that a hearing will go the way we expect it to. A court hearing could go off in any number of directions depending on any number of catalysts, including the paperwork being filed, attitude, fear, overconfidence etc. Court hearings SHOULD go fairly and honourably but they don't in most cases.

I no longer attend court and have no intention of doing so again unless I'm aggrieved enough to want to take someone else into that private business venue for my remedy. If I'm accosted by a 3rd party and I'm invited to court I simply return their paperwork as defective. As Robert Menard may have mentioned, the court needs the consent of both parties to be able to adjudicate, and I do not consent. You can learn about 'returning process' by going to

www.peoples-rights.com

The trick that the courts use to get you to voluntarily subject yourself to their jurisdiction is by sending out or serving "defective service." "defective warrants," "notices to appear" or similar official sounding papers. "Defective service, simply means that "due process" of Law is not being followed. They are all, in fact, just invitations.

Defective service (usually associated with victimless crimes) becomes perfected when you answer to the defective service, by replying as the fiction and/or voluntarily walking into their court, standing behind the bar, and entering into the "benefits of discussion" with the court. Simply by answering when your name is called is all it takes.

However, if you want to have a little court experience you could watch my 3 part video entitled 'standing in Court' on YT, along with all I have already suggested above. I don't recommend going into court with half an education, they'll eat you alive.

Can you tell us the significance of standing in court?

The word standing, as I have used it in my broadcast refers to your ability to 'hold your own' in court but it essentially refers to your claim. If the judge were to say, "you have no standing in this court", he is presuming and assuming that you do not have a claim; your claim in reality is that you are the creditor in all matters of commerce where your signature has been used. 'No standing' also refers to your inability to represent yourself because you have made an 'in personum' appearance. Your honour and status as a sovereign is effectively being called into question but most people have no idea of this fact.

You speak of how to handle yourself in court. I've run into situations where they won't let you into the building without giving them your name, showing your drivers license and passing through a metal detector. I would imagine the proper way to handle this is by saying "I am the sovereign representing the legal fiction known as : "your name" and this is the identification for the legal fiction, however passing through the metal detector and "emptying your pockets" would technically be considered following an order correct? If so, wouldn't that give them authority over you from the get go? How do you handle a situation like that?

A good question and another example of the tricks they use to gain jurisdiction but, again, you're going to be milked unless you're fully aware of all of the pitfalls and how to deal with them. With a rounded education you could quite easily gain entry and use your person to your advantage and for your own protection. I would simply keep referring to the legal fiction as a 3rd party, as you suggest, and make them prove their claim that I am the fiction...but unless you are the claimant why attend at all? Unless you're about to lose your home and you literally have nothing to lose by jumping in at the deep end, I recommend taking the time to study before attacking your utility bills or your parking tickets. You can find a list of recommended study resources on the AT website.

The bottom line is this: The lawyers, barristers and judges representing our legislature and judicial system conspired to insure that anyone who is accused of a so-called crime and posts bail signs a contract to appear and consents by that contract to everything that happens afterward. Anyone who applies for a legal representative, signs the same contract without knowing it and anyone who privately hires a barrister or a lawyer to represent him in a Court hearing consents to the same contract when the lawyer files a "Notice of Appearance." When you hire 'legal representation' you sign a Power of Attorney. He's required to file his Notice of Appearance in that case and that Notice of Appearance gives your consent and ties your appearance to the proceedings. Without these contracts, the Court can't proceed against you. When that happens, the Judge and the Prosecutor attempt to trick you and intimidate you into giving your consent, of course, but if you know how to take what they throw at you and stand your ground, they'll be forced to concede and they'll either adjourn, to regroup, or they'll drop the case entirely.

Can you talk a little about how to write a bond and pay for your traffic tickets?

That's another topic that requires a full understanding and is not to be toyed with. I return process on any tickets, not that I've had any for a long time, and deal with the bailiffs as I would any other 3rd party intervenor; I tell them to prove their claim or get lost.

The third party collections companies have better things to do than try and prove something that simply isn't true and they invariably move on to easier prey

A question that is always on my mind: How do you handle the ignorant "officials" and especially the criminals who simply do not care what the law says. One sad idea we have to deal with is that THEY have the guns and they will lock up anyone regardless of what the law states. How do we overcome this?

This is a sore point for most and, in the case of ignorant and abusive police officers, there is remedy in the form of the commercial lien process. It really isn't for the faint-hearted though. I have several associates in the UK who, having filed a lien against a rogue authority, have had very aggressive visits from the corporation's agents. If you can withstand the pressure of a visit and you're determined enough then it's worth exploring. Essentially, the process involves creating an affidavit regarding the incident which will include un rebuttable statements of fact.

An un rebutted affidavit is the key to your lien. Again, this is another of those elements of commercial law that require a full understanding for successful implementation and is not a weapon I would put into inexperienced hands. Study up.

When it comes to Martial Law on the other hand, all rights are suspended in the name of National security and you have little or no recourse. That's where the REAL danger lies and one we're heading for in due course. You just become a body under martial law, and if your body is in the way, they'll move it. Isn't then a case of simply staying under the radar defending yourself physically as best you can if necessary?

Can you tell us a little bit about the court systems (and nations, etc. etc.) being set up as corporations?

Corporations, themselves, are natural processes of society. By that I mean, when a group of people gather for a particular purpose, such as with a view to forming a community, they're a corporation and there's criminal intent attached. It's when corporations are established with the power to declare themselves "bankrupt" that makes them criminal. This is the situation of our governments today; the richest, most powerful nation on earth being "bankrupt." Just the thought is ridiculous.

the creation of corporations is sold as the most expedient way to operate in commerce but in my opinion Corporations are established primarily to escape punishment for their crimes by members of the board, it empowers them to instigate fraudulent contracts, placing the blame on a fictional organization responsible to no one.

The term "Piercing the Corporate Veil" is a legal one which identifies the process where a court removes the protection provided individual members of a corporation for criminal activity, and makes these members responsible for their own actions. Corporations, even though they are "legal" fictions, are still just businesses; businesses are commercial enterprises.

Courts, like any other business, operate as a corporation in commerce. The courts we have are not courts of law; they're courts of Commercial Contract and you contract with them voluntarily or just out of pure ignorance. If you're even remotely curious about that fact, you might consider looking into admiralty fiction and contract law. A good starting point would be my *Real Prison Without Bars* video on YT.

Do you feel the goal is to eliminate 80% of the population? Is that number inflated to incite fear? Population control is definitely in their agenda but to what extent and why?

All the evidence I have uncovered certainly points that way. I would recommend everyone investigate the Georgia Guidestones for a start. Then move on to the experiments conducted on native populations, the overwhelming evidence of planned poisoning of the food and water supply, Global 2000 and Codex Alimentarius.

Do you foresee any more false flag terror attacks such as 9-11 or 7-7, or do you think the terrorist card is played out, they've set up their control grid and now it's time for pandemics and attacking the Internet?

why would they give up a strategy (FEAR) that has worked so well all throughout history? It's a classic, one that can run forever and ever. Historically, "the enemy" has been a tangible target - the communists, the pagans, the barbarians et al - now the controlling elite have come up with a multi-functional, amorphous, ever-changing boogiemán called "terrorist," and chances are the elite will continue to play that card. Our job is to wake up and start connecting the dots.

The old saying about the definition of insanity is that you keep repeating the same action while continuing to expect a different result - the joke is, the elite keep repeating the same actions upon us, yet while we are still asleep we keep expecting a different outcome. Same puppet show every time, only the costumes and the set dressings ever change. The number one puppet show, of course, is politics.

What are your thoughts on 2012? Some people believe it will be the end. Some people believe it will be a global shift in consciousness. Some people believe it will be a non-event. Where do you stand on the topic and do you

feel the one world agenda is counting down to 2012. If so, why?

While I am researching topics for the broadcasts or the book I read quite a bit of history, and one thing that has been a side interest of mine has been recurrences of apocalyptic thinking. One example that springs to mind was in Restoration England in 1666. In that one year alone there was a massive comet sighted which was taken as a very bad omen, there was an extremely virulent outbreak of plague and to top it all off, the Great Fire of London. To say people were on edge would be an understatement. There was also enormous significance attached to the year date -1666 - people were looking for the antichrist around every corner.

The doomsday scenario is a recurring human phenomenon. Many people tend to fixate upon doom dates for one reason or another - almost like the idea of apocalypse is hardwired, along with the need to eat or sleep or shop or procreate.

Something else I have discovered is that humans appear to do two things over and over throughout history: the first is to establish some sort of hierarchy and then to rebel against it. I think a part of that rebellion could take the form of “hey, knock it all down with a BANG and start again from a VERY level playing field.” You see kids do it all the time with sandcastles, racing the tide before it rushes in to do the job for them.

Where do you think the microchip agenda is and when do you think we'll see the implementation of that?

what people should realise is that what's reported in the mainstream media is usually years behind where the elite actually are technologically, so I believe that the rice grain-sized chip we are all familiar with is like a model T ford compared to the ferraris they are actually working with currently. I was fortunate enough to receive proprietary internal documents pointing to the possibility of nano chips, smaller than ground black pepper currently resident in some vaccines. These documents are available as a download from The AT website.

According to these documents, in case after case, health workers are advised to shake the vials to keep “a certain sediment” suspended and to observe “an iridescent sheen” in the liquid before injection. Part of the reason for the iridescence in the vaccines could be the breakthrough made by the Hitachi corporation when they found that nano particles clumped together. In the bloodstream this could manifest as a cerebral hemorrhage. They began coating them in silicone, where they found that the particles no longer adhered, but slid right past one another. Another aspect of silicone is that it throws the light with an iridescent quality.

Are you familiar with the Fort Hood shootings? If so, do you feel it was a false flag/psy-op, possibly MK-Ultra? If so, what was the purpose and how will they use the event?

My first, gut-level response to what I have been able to ascertain regarding the shootings at Fort Hood have to do with the alleged shooter... Major Nidal Malik Hasan, of Jordanian-Palastinian ancestry, devout Muslim, and Army Psychiatrist with an intelligence level security clearance.

The last time I heard this number of seemingly contradictory characteristics used to describe one man occurred during the era of the Kennedy assassination. Lee Harvey Oswald was associated with the current big bad evil of the 60s, the Communists. He had a Russian wife, spoke the language fluently and at the same time had numerous ties to US intelligence and the US military.

Whenever I encounter events offering that number of similarities in both the play and the players, I assume it is written and directed by the same author. Until we all have more to go on that is not purely dependent upon government or major media “interpretation,” I suggest we all do our own research and come to our own conclusions. It is still very early days on this.

No one seems to be asking the obvious question. Why do police officers have to save SOLDIERS on a military base? WHY is your own military disarmed on military installations?

Had your military personnel been armed, as they should be, the response to a lone psychopath attempting to inflict mayhem would have been swift and sure. One dead terrorist, hit multiple times from multiple armed soldiers.

Has the melting pot we call America become so diluted with competing ideologies that your politicians and military brass can no longer trust your own military men and women to be armed on military installations, in their barracks, in their homes, or god forbid--on their person during their normal walks of life?

If your government can't TRUST or TRAIN your American soldiers to be armed without incident while on a military bases or in their homes then how can you, the American people, ask in good conscience, for these same soldiers to carry a rifle into battle to protect your interests in some foreign country?

You send your soldiers off to die in battle but don't allow them the means to protect themselves in your own country? It beggars belief.

And what would you say is the biggest threat in the global scheme of things, besides the one world agenda of course...

The biggest threat to the elite is people waking up to the truth. The biggest threat to humanity is to continue to remain in a major media, fluoride, food additive and pill-popping trance to the point where it just never occurs to them to question authority.

The biggest threat facing humanity is to continue to submit to manipulation, or, as Jane Burgermeister puts it, to continue their "habit of obedience." The elite running things count on that habit to endure. The way to break that habit is to look inside yourself for the strength and connection that is always there and to see through the veil of constant fear bombardment by major media. All these diversions are utilised to keep the sheep asleep and subservient to what they have been programmed to accept as "authority." In short, Wake Up, and once you are awake, begin to think for yourself.

Being fully awake includes accepting responsibility for your own actions and realising there is a difference between responsibility and culpability.

When people are told, 'You should take responsibility...' they most often hear, 'Accept punishment for 'Fill-in-the-blank.' As a result, most people spend so much of their time and energy running from the former (culpability) that they rarely stop and embrace the latter (responsibility). Most people live much of their lives feeling like either a victim of something, or a perpetrator of something else. It can be exhausting.

what do you believe people should focus on in order to stop them and give the power back to the people?...

Focus first on freeing your mind. This will offer you the clarity to decide how to proceed and how to step out of the patterns imposed upon you by people who want to control you.

You could start by throwing out your television. It's called 'programming' for a reason. Stop reading mainstream newspapers and magazines. Stop listening to mainstream talk radio if all it accomplishes is to make you feel angry or fearful.

We have to ask ourselves why we are being bombarded by messages that induce fear. Fear in terms of frequency is a very slow vibration and as such, allows for easier manipulation. While people are in a state of fear they are more pliable, malleable and suggestible. On the other side of the coin, there's anger. This is why the elite seem to be able to create more effectively than we can, because we're all kept busy hopping back and forth between fear and anger; scaring each other with talk about what they are doing and then getting angry about it. Break that pattern.

I would urge everyone to stop consuming MSG, Aspartame and Fluoride. Don't submit to vaccinations. Choose what you experience. Feed your soul the things that will nourish it. Or you can leave your mind locked up. Your call.

What you can do is breathe. Meditate. Cultivate your connection to the greater part of yourself. Begin to see connections instead of divisions. Many things are true; Health is true. Disease is true. Which truth would you rather experience? Let go of the need to quantify, categorise and lock down truth in tiny metal boxes to which only your teacher or your religion or your mother or your government holds the key.

You might ask yourself instead, 'How does this make me Feel?' because how you feel is all the truth you will ever need. If you are angry and miserable all the time, then the elite have got you right where they want you.

One last thing I urge you to remember is that for adults, all we are going through now and all of the changes we have seen in the past decade make us angry, because we all remember "a time before," when things were different. For our children, though, everything that is currently happening today is 'normal' for them. We are currently inhabiting a world where children think it is normal to have metal detectors in school, where it's normal to have policy enforcement officers using tasers on the public willy-nilly, where the bombardment of fear via television, movies and radio is all 'normal...'

Bear in mind that adults who are protesting about the world today will eventually be completely "bred out" as the next generation comes to see that living in a police state is "the new normal." The elite, who think and plan ahead in terms of centuries is counting on that.

The Patriot Act has now been in force for eight years. *Eight Years*. Children who were six years old when those statutes were signed into effect are now just a year or two from graduating high school. They have essentially never known another way of life other than fear and submission to authority in the name of national security.

As responsible parents it is crucial that we educate our children to look beyond "normal," in order to ensure that they do not grow up as slaves.

And please, speak about whatever you feel appropriate.

You can't control what anyone does. But you can control and create what you do. That's it. Raise your vibration. It's all you have to do. Remind yourself each day: I am in control of myself. I am responsible for myself. I make my own choices based on the needs and demands met by me. I take responsibility for every choice I make. I respect free choice in others. I am free. So are you.

Humans have a hunger to create more and better and different experiences all the time—it's the entire point of being alive. the difficulty comes because people are terrified to do it alone.

The biggest fear most people ever have might be the realisation that they are alone. We all die alone. We all suffer pain alone; no one can do either for us. Nor can anyone know exactly what you see with your eyes or

hear with your ears or dream when you sleep, no matter how we strive to communicate those things through poetry, painting, music or blogs. No one knows exactly what you feel, and how you feel it, but you.

You're alone because you are a part of the whole, having a subjective experience called life that is completely unique to you.

To forestall embracing this state of aloneness, we join a church, or a political party, or an online forum, continually checking in on other people's opinions of us and measuring our power according to who wins the online flame war. We form wars against drugs or porn or Republicans or the Tory Party. We protest, we petition, we march... we do ten thousand things with ten thousand others, but rarely pause to give a moment's credit to the power of the individual. Why is that? Why do we feel so powerless alone? Why do we let this fear hold us down when history has repeatedly shown us that it is the lone voice in the wilderness, who lets a pebble fall from his hand and starts the avalanche of change.

You are alone. You're alone because despite the begging, pleading, lying, seducing, dominating or manipulating you may do, you can never really change another sovereign soul. You are alone because in this reality the only life you can ever have a lasting effect on is your own. And you know what? That is powerful enough. By far.

Can you please give us some information on how to find your work, website, youtube account, etc. etc.

www.theantiterrorist.co.uk

www.youtube.com/theantiterrorist

TheAntiTerrorist Handbook available from Amazon and other online booksellers worldwide.

In closing I'd like to say that if you take anything at all from what I have said, let it be to spend a minute or two every morning when you first wake up, breathe deeply and say to yourself,

'I'm in this for the adventure.'

Thanks for listening.

© MMIX TheAntiTerrorist and Velluminous Press.

Any dissemination, distribution, copying or stealing of this article for sale or nefarious purposes or to try and get that girl in bed by passing it off as your own cool take on the world is not authorised unless explicitly permitted by Timothy AntiTerrorist and/or Velluminous Press, and if you do decide to distribute, disseminate, copy, steal or use this article in a naughty way, his mum—Mrs Boudicca AntiTerrorist—will have to kick your ass. :-)